

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This communication is responsive to the Final Rejection of March 15, 2006.

Applicant notes with appreciation the interview of September 8, 2006 with the Examiner. In that interview the Examiner indicated that although the response after final overcame the §112 rejections, the rejections over prior art were not overcome. Thus, although applicant has not received an Advisory Action, applicant is submitting the claims as further amended above along with a Request for Continued Examination.

A three-month extension of time to respond, up to and including September 15, 2006 is filed concurrently herewith. However, as applicant has previously paid for a two-month extension of time on August 8, 2006, applicant is only paying the difference between the extensions.

Claim 1 has been amended to now recite "providing an add-on register in the electronic device, the add-on register being coupled to the register through a system control circuit, the system control circuit being operable to supply a control signal for driving a memory controller connected to the register."

Claim 6 has been amended to now recite "an add-on register being coupled to the register through a system control circuit, the system control circuit being operable to supply a control signal for driving a memory controller connected to the register."

Claim 11 has been amended to now recite "an add-on register being coupled to the register through a system control circuit, the system control circuit being operable to supply a control signal for driving a memory controller connected to the register."

Claim 16 has been amended to now recite "an add-on

register being coupled to the register through a system control circuit, the system control circuit being operable to supply a control signal for driving a memory controller connected to the register."

Support for the foregoing amendments to the claims may be found by reference to, for example, paragraph [0022] of the application.

Applicant respectfully requests consideration of the claims as amended and respectfully submits that the pending rejections are moot. More specifically, applicant respectfully submits that the references of record, individually or when combined, do not show the newly added feature to the claims 1, 6, 11 and 16.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that he telephone applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which he might have.

Application No.: 09/834,025

Docket No.: SONYJP 3.0-154

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: September 15, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Orville R. Cockings

Registration No.: 42,424

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorney for Applicant

693180_1.DOC